



SR

MARK E. FERRARIO, Bar No. 1625
KARA B. HENDRICKS, Bar No. 7743
TAMI D. COWDEN, Bar No. 8994
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89135
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
Email: ferrariom@gtlaw.com
hendricksk@gtlaw.com
cowdent@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, EX REL. COMMISSIONER
OF INSURANCE, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS STATUTORY RECEIVER FOR DELINQUENT
DOMESTIC INSURER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SPIRIT COMMERCIAL AUTO RISK RETENTION
GROUP, INC., a Nevada Domiciled Association
Captive Insurance Company,

Defendant.

Case No. A-19-787325-B
Dept. No. 27

TENTH STATUS REPORT

COMES NOW, Commissioner of Insurance and Receiver, Barbara D. Richardson, and CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P., Special Deputy Receiver (“SDR”), and files this Tenth Status Report in the above-captioned receivership. In accordance with the orders of this Court and the Nevada Revised Statutes (“NRS”) Chapter 696B, the Receiver makes this “true report[s] in summary form of the insurer’s affairs under the receivership and of progress being made in accomplishing the objectives of the receivership.” NRS 696B.290(7).

I.

INTRODUCTION

Spirit Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group, Inc. (“Spirit” or the “Company”) is an association captive insurance company organized under the insurance laws of Nevada and the Liability Risk Retention Act of 1986. Spirit received its Certificate of Authority on February 24, 2012, and operates under the authority of NRS Chapter 694C. Spirit transacted commercial auto liability insurance business. Within that line, Spirit specialized in serving commercial truck owners.

Pursuant to NRS 679A.160, Spirit is subject to Nevada laws in Chapters 694C and 695E that pertain to captive insurers (as “captive insurer” is defined in NRS 694C.060) and risk retention groups (as “risk retention group” is defined in NRS 695E.110) that have a Certificate of Authority from the Division. Spirit is considered an association captive insurer (as “association captive insurer” is defined in NRS 694C.050). As a risk retention group (“RRG”), Spirit is subject to the Federal Liability Risk Retention Act of 1986. RRGs domiciled in Nevada do not participate in the Nevada Guaranty Association. Pursuant to NRS 695E.140(1)(a), Spirit is also subject to all laws that pertain to traditional liability insurers (with exceptions given in Bulletin 14-008).

As discussed in the Receiver’s First Status Report, Spirit is part of an Insurance Holding Company System and in large part it only did business with other members of that system. CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Missouri, LLC (“CTC”), with offices in Missouri, New Jersey, and California, served as the program administrator and managing general agent for Spirit. Criterion Claims Solutions of Omaha, Inc. (“Criterion”) was the third-party claims administrator for Spirit. Lexicon Insurance Management LLC was the captive manager for the company (after Risk Services initially served in that role through circa July 2018). Chelsea Financial Group, Inc. provided premium financing services for the majority of Spirit’s policies. The company 10-4 Risk Management provided risk management and loss run services. The owner or ultimate controlling person for each of these entities is or was Thomas Mulligan.¹ All of these companies were taking a portion of the premium dollars from Spirit-issued policies.

¹ See Schedule Y: Part 1A, to the Company’s 2018 Annual Statement, the “Detail of Insurance Holding Company System” (the Receiver’s First Status Report, Ex. B).

1 The Commissioner initially filed her first petition to put the Company into receivership on
2 January 11, 2019, and her efforts to protect the policyholders and other creditors of the estate were
3 contested vigorously by the Company. On February 27, 2019, this Court entered its Permanent
4 Receivership Order. Barbara D. Richardson, Commissioner of Insurance (“Commissioner”), in her
5 capacity as Receiver for Spirit appointed the firm of CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P. as the Special Deputy
6 Receiver of the Companies. The “Receiver” and “Special Deputy Receiver” are referred to collectively
7 herein as the “Receiver.”

8 In brief, the Permanent Receivership Order established the following key points for the Spirit
9 receivership:

- 10 1) that the Company’s in-force insurance policies are to be canceled effective on the earlier
11 of April 15, 2019, or the date when the insured ceased making premium payments to
12 Spirit;
- 13 2) that the Receiver may impose a full suspension on all disbursements owed by Spirit,
14 including insurance policy disbursements, and costs related to the defense or adjudication
15 of insurance policy claims;
- 16 3) that the receivership court has exclusive jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to Spirit
17 and all persons are enjoined from commencing, bringing, maintaining, or further
18 prosecuting any action at law, suit in equity, arbitration, or special or other proceeding
19 against the Company, Receiver, or Special Deputy Receiver;
- 20 4) that the Receiver is vested with exclusive title both legal and equitable to all of Spirit’s
21 property wherever located, to administer under the general supervisions of the Court;
- 22 5) that the Receiver may change to her own name the name of any of Spirit’s accounts, funds
23 or other property or assets, held with any bank, savings and loan association, other
24 financial institution, or any other person, wherever located, and may withdraw such
25 funds, accounts and other assets from such institutions or take any lesser action necessary
26 for the proper conduct of the receivership; and
- 27 6) that the Receiver is authorized to establish a receivership claims and appeal procedure,
28 for all receivership claims. The receivership claims and appeals procedures shall be used

1 to facilitate the orderly disposition or resolution of claims or controversies involving the
2 receivership or the receivership estate.

3 On September 16, 2019, the Receiver filed a consolidated motion for a Final Order Placing Spirit
4 Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group into Liquidation, and for an Order Setting a Claims Filing
5 Deadline, and Granting Related Relief (the “Consolidated Motion”). The Consolidated Motion was
6 heard and granted on October 24, 2019. On November 6, 2019, the Court entered its Final Order Placing
7 Spirit into Liquidation (the “Liquidation Order”) and its Final Order Setting Claims Filing Deadline for
8 Spirit and Related Relief (“the Claims Order”). The Claims Order established a Claims Filing Deadline,
9 and procedures for filing claims against Spirit. The Liquidation Order also granted the Receiver’s
10 request to formally place Spirit into liquidation effective on November 6, 2019. On September 30, 2020,
11 the Court entered an *Order Extending the Claims Filing Deadline for Spirit Commercial Auto Risk*
12 *Retention Group, Inc.* The Claims Filing Deadline was extended to **May 31, 2021**, and has now expired.

13 II.

14 RECEIVERSHIP ADMINISTRATION

15 A. Notice of Developments in Receivership

16 On August 19, 2019, the Court entered its Order Regarding Motion for Instructions Including
17 Notice Requirements (the “Notice Order”). Future notices about Spirit’s receivership will be provided
18 to interested parties in accordance with the Court’s Notice Order. Interested parties may also monitor
19 the Spirit receivership web site (www.spiritinsure.com) to keep up to date about developments in the
20 receivership.

21 B. Claims Administration and Third-Party Support Services

22 TRISTAR Risk Management (“TRISTAR”) is assisting the Receiver in evaluating the incoming Proofs
23 of Claim (“POC”). The Claims Filing Deadline expired on May 31, 2021. There have been 1,402 POC
24 submissions received to date. This number may increase, as the Receiver is still processing submissions.
25 Numerous submissions were received with a timely postmark stamp, weeks after the deadline had
26 passed—which would seem to indicate a delay in U.S. postal service delivery times.

27 The Receiver is evaluating the claims against the estate and preparing to mail written Notices of
28 Claim Determination to claimants – and these notices will advise claimants of whether their claims are

1 approved (in full or in part) or denied. The Receiver has posted a status update (*i.e.*, advising that POCs
2 are in the process of being evaluated) to the home page of the Spirit receivership web site.

3 The United States has filed a POC in the receivership, asserting the priority of its claims—if any
4 (they are unknown at this time according to the POC)—over and above any other claims against the
5 estate pursuant to 31 U.S. Code § 3713, also known as the government “superpriority” statute. The
6 Receiver sent letters to the United States to provide a reminder that its claim must be complete, non-
7 contingent, and liquidated in amount on or before the May 31, 2021, deadline – and that if no amendment
8 or supplement to the POC was received by that date, the claim would be denied and barred from sharing
9 in the assets of the Spirit estate. No amendment or supplement from the United States has been received
10 as of the date of this report.

11 The policy data of Spirit is held in the Aspire Information System (“Aspire”), which was created
12 by Maple Technologies. The Receiver believes that this system is still of value to the receivership,
13 particularly during the pendency of the POC process and certain litigation matters. The Receiver will
14 regularly review the need for this system.

15 Actuarial firm Oliver Wyman Actuarial Consulting, Inc. (“Oliver Wyman”) has been engaged to
16 prepare actuarial estimates for Spirit’s claims and future losses. Oliver Wyman has completed actuarial
17 estimates for Spirit as of December 31, 2020, which are detailed further in section E (“Actuarial
18 Reports”).

19 Calhoun, Thompson & Matza, L.L.P. is a CPA firm that has been hired by the Receiver to prepare
20 Spirit’s federal and state tax returns.

21 PALOMAR FINANCIAL, LC (“Palomar”) is an affiliated company of the Special Deputy Receiver
22 and performs financial and technical administrative support services for Spirit in receivership—and
23 those services are now being performed by Palomar. Palomar is being used to facilitate the
24 receivership’s administration of financial matters. The Receiver, with assistance from Palomar, has
25 finalized all outstanding premium tax matters for the Company, including tax matters that were left
26 outstanding and overdue by former Spirit leadership at the outset of the Receivership.

27 As the Court is aware, the Receiver has engaged the law firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP
28 (“Greenberg Traurig”), as counsel in this receivership matter. Additionally, as reported in the previous

1 status report, the Receiver has engaged the services of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie (“Lewis Roca”)
2 to handle certain limited matters and to act as outside conflicts counsel to address other matters that may
3 arise in which Greenberg Traurig is not representing the receivership estate.

4 The Receiver has continued to receive notice from time to time of lawsuits filed against Spirit in
5 violation of the Court’s Permanent Receivership Order. The Receiver will continue its established
6 procedure of writing to the parties involved to inform them of the injunctions of the Permanent
7 Receivership Order, and to request a voluntary dismissal of Spirit from the matter. Thus far, the majority
8 of counsel have been amenable to such requests. In limited cases and only when absolutely necessary,
9 the Receiver will engage outside counsel to address ongoing or repeated violations of this Court’s orders.

10 It was necessary for the Receiver to engage local outside counsel Frost Brown Todd in a
11 Kentucky matter where a plaintiff’s attorney refused to dismiss Spirit as a defendant in violation of the
12 Permanent Receivership Order. CTC and other entities that had a relationship with Spirit were named
13 as co-defendants in that matter. After extensive briefing by the parties in this matter, the federal
14 proceeding was stayed pending the outcome of Spirit’s liquidation.² Spirit was voluntarily dismissed by
15 the plaintiff on June 3, 2021.

16 **C. Records**

17 The Receiver has made efforts to secure Spirit’s electronic records from third parties. The
18 Receiver will continue with the evaluation of the Company and will continue gathering the Company’s
19 records and data. This process will remain ongoing as the Receiver continues to identify parties that
20 may have information or records that will assist in carrying out the liquidation of Spirit. The recovery
21 of Spirit’s complete records from third parties remains incomplete.

22 **D. Asset Recovery Litigation**

23 On February 6, 2020, the Receiver filed an asset recovery lawsuit against a number of parties,
24 including Thomas Mulligan, CTC, Criterion, Spirit’s former directors and officers, various other former
25 vendors of Spirit, and various other related persons and entities (“Asset Recovery Lawsuit”). The Asset
26 Recovery Lawsuit was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Clark County, Nevada, and assigned

27 ² The federal court exercised the discretion afforded to it by *Burford v. Sun Oil Co.*, 319 U. S. 315 (1943), to stay
28 the plaintiff’s claim against Spirit pending resolution of this liquidation proceeding.

Case No. A-20-809963-B. Excerpts from the Asset Recovery Lawsuit are included below to illustrate the nature of the Receiver's complaint are as follows:

1. This complaint arises out of a vast fraudulent enterprise orchestrated by Defendant Thomas Mulligan and others, by which the Defendants operated a multitude of interrelated companies in the insurance service industry for their own benefit and to the detriment of their customers and insureds, including Spirit.
2. Through a web of interrelated companies that wrote insurance policies, provided so-called financing for insureds wishing to purchase insurance, processed insurance premiums, and/or adjusted and paid insurance claims, and collected Spirit's assets (the "Mulligan Enterprise"), Mulligan and his confederates siphoned millions of dollars from Spirit.
3. While Mulligan was the primary architect of his Enterprise, Defendant Pavel Kapelnikov participated heavily in the design and implementation of the scheme and assisted with perpetuating the fraud through his ownership and control of Mulligan Enterprise entities, including a key Spirit services provider, which breached its obligations to Spirit. Mulligan and Pavel Kapelnikov used the many moving pieces of the Mulligan Enterprise to ensure that the entities they owned and controlled enjoyed preferential treatment as creditors by hiding the true and dismal financial condition of Spirit to prolong its operations while they continued to arrogate funds to themselves with a corresponding detriment to Spirit, its policy holders, and its other non-insider creditors.
4. As a result of this scheme, Spirit – an insurance company that insured trucking companies – became financially insolvent and was placed into permanent receivership and subsequently into liquidation, leaving hundreds of unpaid claims and a host of creditors. This complaint seeks to recover, on behalf of Spirit and those affected, the tens of millions of dollars that are owed to Spirit from its principal Mulligan and his cohorts and/or the companies over which he exercised interest and/or control, including companies that were contracted to provide services to Spirit

1 that absconded with virtually all of Spirit’s assets and third-party companies to which
2 Spirit’s funds were siphoned.

3 On March 27, 2020, an answer was filed on behalf of certain Defendants, along with a demand
4 for jury trial: Pavel Kapelnikov, Chelsea Financial Group, Inc.,³ Global Forwarding Enterprises, LLC,
5 Kapa Management Consulting, Inc., and Kapa Ventures, Inc. The following Defendants have also filed
6 answers to the suit: Brenda Guffey, ICAP Management Solutions, LLC, Daniel George, Lexicon
7 Insurance Management, LLC, James Marx, Igor and Yanina Kapelnikov (along with a demand for jury
8 trial), and Carlos and Virginia Torres. A default judgment was entered on April 24, 2020, against Global
9 Capital Group, LLC, after no answer or other appearance was filed. A three-day Notice of Intent to Take
10 Default Upon Defendant John S. Maloney was filed on May 1, 2020. On May 13, 2020, Defendant John
11 Maloney filed an Answer. On May 14, 2020, an Answer was filed by Defendant Thomas Mulligan.
12 Also, on May 14, 2020, an Answer was filed by Six Eleven, LLC, Quote My Rig, LLC, New Tech
13 Capital, LLC, 195 Gluten Free, LLC, 10-4 Preferred Risk Managers, LLC, Ironjab, LLC, Fourgorean
14 Capital, LLC, and Chelsea Holding Company, LLC. On May 21, 2020, a default judgment was entered
15 against Chelsea Holdings, LLC⁴ and Chelsea Financial Group, Inc. However, on June 4, 2020, a
16 Stipulation and Order was entered to set aside the default judgment against Chelsea Financial Group,
17 Inc. On June 10, 2020, Chelsea Financial Group, Inc. filed its answer to the Complaint. On August 24,
18 2020, Matthew Simon filed an Answer. On August 26, 2020, Scott McCrae filed an Answer.

19 On May 14, 2020, CTC⁵ and Criterion Claim Solutions of Omaha, Inc. each filed Motions to
20 Compel Arbitration of the claims asserted by the Receiver in her asset recovery lawsuit. On June 4,
21 2020, the Receiver filed her Oppositions to Criterion’s and to the CTC Defendants’ Motions to Compel
22 Arbitration. On June 11, 2020, CTC and Criterion Claim Solutions of Omaha, Inc. each filed a Reply in
23 Support of their Motion to Compel Arbitration.

24 _____
25 ³ Both the California and New Jersey corporations.

26 ⁴ Thomas Mulligan has attested that he is a member of defendant Chelsea Holdings Company, LLC, but is not
27 affiliated or associated in any way with Chelsea Holdings, LLC. Counsel located the real member of Chelsea Holdings, LLC,
and the Secretary of State filings have been corrected. Chelsea Holdings, LLC, was voluntarily dismissed without prejudice
from this matter on December 21, 2020.

28 ⁵ Here, “CTC” refers to Defendants CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Missouri LLC; CTC Transportation
Insurance Services, LLC; and CTC Transportation Insurance Services of Hawaii LLC.

1 On May 14, 2020, Defendants Scott McCrae and Matthew Simon filed a Motion to Dismiss the
2 Complaint. On June 4, 2020, the Receiver filed her Opposition to Defendants Scott McCrae and
3 Matthew Simon, Jr.'s Motion to Dismiss. On June 11, 2020, Defendants Scott McCrae and Matthew
4 Simon filed a Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. On June 15, 2020, a
5 Minute Order was issued by Judge Mark R. Denton ruling that due to the ongoing Coronavirus situation,
6 the matter was deemed submitted on the briefs and under advisement (*i.e.*, to be decided without a
7 hearing).

8 On July 6, 2020, Judge Denton granted Criterion's Motion to Compel Arbitration, and ruled that
9 it would dismiss the action without prejudice. However, the Court was not persuaded by Criterion's
10 contention that the Receiver's positions are frivolous, and it thus denied Defendant's request for
11 attorneys' fees. The Order was entered on July 22, 2020. On August 5, 2020, the Receiver filed her
12 Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's July 22, 2020, Order Regarding Criterion Claim Solutions of
13 Omaha Inc.'s Motion to Compel Arbitration; Criterion filed its Opposition to the Receiver's Motion on
14 August 19, 2020. A hearing was set for September 8, 2020. On September 29, 2020, the Court denied
15 the Receiver's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's July 22, 2020, Order Regarding Criterion
16 Claim Solutions of Omaha Inc.'s Motion to Compel Arbitration. On April 1, 2021, the Receiver filed a
17 Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the Nevada Supreme Court regarding, *inter alia*, the Court's July 22,
18 2020, Order Granting Criterion Claim Solution's Motion to Compel Arbitration. The Nevada Supreme
19 Court has not yet ruled on the Petition.

20 On July 6, 2020, Judge Denton also granted the CTC Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration
21 – the Order was entered July 17, 2020. Finally, on July 6, 2020, the Court granted in part and denied in
22 part Defendants Scott McCrae and Matthew Simon, Jr.'s Motion to Dismiss. The order was entered on
23 August 10, 2020. On July 30, 2020, the Receiver filed a Motion for Reconsideration and/or Clarification
24 of the Court's July 17, 2020, Order Regarding CTC Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration. On
25 August 13, 2020, the CTC Defendants filed their Opposition to the Receiver's Motion for
26 Reconsideration. On August 24, 2020, the Receiver filed her Reply in Support of the Motion for
27 Reconsideration and/or Clarification of the Court's July 17, 2020, Order. A hearing of the Receiver's
28 Motion for Reconsideration was set for August 31, 2020, but was vacated and deemed submitted on the

1 briefs and under advisement. On September 16, 2020, the Court denied the Receiver's Motion for
2 Reconsideration and/or Clarification of the Court's July 17, 2020, Order Regarding CTC Defendants'
3 Motion to Compel Arbitration. On April 1, 2021, the Receiver filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in
4 the Nevada Supreme Court regarding, *inter alia*, the Court's July 17, 2020, Order Granting CTC
5 Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration. The Nevada Supreme Court has not yet ruled on the Petition.

6 On August 24, 2020, nine of the defendants⁶ filed a Motion to Stay Pending Arbitration.
7 Nineteen additional defendants then filed joinders to the Motion to Stay.⁷ On September 11, 2020, the
8 Receiver filed an opposition to the Motion to Stay and joinders thereto. A hearing was held on the
9 Motion to Stay & Opposition thereto via videoconference on September 28, 2020. On October 2, 2020,
10 the Court granted the Motion to Stay Pending Arbitration and the Joinders thereto, "being persuaded by
11 the Motion/Joinders that Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants are so intertwined with those against
12 the parties subject to arbitration that a stay is warranted for the reasons advanced by Defendants." The
13 formal Notice of Entry of Order was entered on November 17, 2020. On April 1, 2021, the Receiver
14 filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the Nevada Supreme Court regarding, *inter alia*, the Court's
15 November 17, 2020, Order Granting the Motion to Stay Pending Arbitration and all Joinders Thereto.
16 The Nevada Supreme Court has not yet ruled on the Petition.

17 On December 31, 2020, QBE Insurance Corporation ("QBE") submitted its Application for
18 Relief from the Permanent Injunction (the "QBE Application") so as to permit QBE to advance defense
19 costs to the individual defendants (*i.e.*, Spirit's former directors and officers) named in Case No: A-20-
20 809963-C. QBE issued a professional liability policy to Spirit for the June 1, 2018 to June 1, 2019 Policy

21
22 ⁶ Six Eleven LLC, Quote My Rig, LLC, New Tech Capital, LLC, 195 Gluten Free LLC, 10-4 Preferred Risk
23 Managers, Inc., Ironjab LLC, Fourgorean Capital LLC, Chelsea Holdings Company, LLC ("Chelsea Holdings"), and Chelsea
Financial Group, Inc. (MO) ("Chelsea Financial MO") (collectively, "Six Eleven Defendants").

24 ⁷ Brenda Guffey filed a joinder on September 2, 2020. James Marx, John Maloney, Virginia Torres, and Carlos
25 Torres (Marx, Maloney, V. Torres, and C. Torres will be referred to collectively herein as the "Spirit Director Defendants")
also filed a joinder on September 2, 2020. On September 3, 2020, Defendants Pavel Kapelnikov, Chelsea Financial Group,
26 Inc. (New Jersey) ("Chelsea Financial New Jersey"), Chelsea Financial Group, Inc. (California) ("Chelsea Financial
California"), Global Forwarding Group, Inc. ("Global Forwarding"), Kapa Management Consulting, Inc. ("Kapa
27 Management"), Kapa Ventures Inc. ("Kapa Ventures"), Igor Kapelnikov, and Yanina Kapelnikov (collectively "Kapelnikov
Group") filed a joinder. Thomas Mulligan also filed a joinder on September 3, 2020. Additionally, on September 3, 2020,
28 Defendants Lexicon Insurance Management LLC ("Lexicon"), ICAP Management Solutions, LLC ("ICAP") and Daniel
George ("George") collectively ("Lexicon/George Group") filed a joinder. Matthew Simon Jr. and Scott McCrae also filed a
joinder on September
4, 2020.

Period. According to the QBE Application, Spirit's former directors and officers have sought coverage for defense and indemnity from QBE in the Asset Recovery lawsuit. Per the QBE Application, "QBE has determined that it is contractually obligated under the Policy to advance certain Defense Costs (as defined) and that these costs will likely exceed the Policy's \$1,500,000 Limit of Liability." QBE is seeking relief from the injunctions within the Permanent Receivership Order, so that it may advance defense costs to the individual defendants. The Receiver previously sent a notice of claim and demand for policy limits to QBE on May 29, 2019. On January 13, 2021, the Receiver filed a Limited Response to Application for Relief from Permanent Injunction, stating *inter alia* that it is the Receiver's belief that the Court is best suited to approve and/or deny QBE's request to proceed as outlined in the QBE Application. A hearing was scheduled for this matter on February 3, 2021. On February 12, 2021, the Court entered its Order Granting Application for Relief from Permanent Injunction.

E. Actuarial Reports

Oliver Wyman is an actuarial firm that was selected to prepare actuarial estimates for Spirit's claims and future losses. Oliver Wyman has completed its actuarial report for Spirit as of December 31, 2020. The Receiver has finalized the report as of the filing of this Tenth Status Report. The claims data relied on for the report is subject to change because the Receiver is still evaluating claims data, including claims received after December 31, 2020, pursuant to the claims process. The executive summary below reflects the actuarial claim reserve data as of December 31, 2020.

Summary of Net and Direct & Assumed Indicated Reserves (\$000s)

<u>All Accident Years Combined</u>	<u>Case Reserves</u>	<u>IBNR</u>	<u>Total Unpaid Loss & ALAE</u>
Direct & Assumed	\$91,337	\$107,327	\$198,663
Net	\$80,097	\$98,989	\$179,085
Ceded	\$11,240	\$8,338	\$19,578

F. Receivership Assets and Liabilities

The Receiver has been gathering information and evaluating the assets and liabilities of Spirit. A further preliminary liability analysis will be determined after TRISTAR further evaluates claims and

1 an actuary prepares an updated estimate of Spirit's liabilities. Below is an overview of some key assets
2 and liability matters thus far identified by the Receiver.

- 3 1. CTC owes a large balance to Spirit that is at least more than \$40 million and may
4 be much greater after further discovery. The Receiver filed the Asset Recovery
5 Lawsuit seeking the return of this money *inter alia* as detailed above.
- 6 2. The cash and invested assets of the Company were approximately \$41,855,764
7 as of May 31, 2021.
- 8 3. Gross Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense ("LAE") and General Liability Losses:
9 The gross unpaid loss & allocated LAE as of December 31, 2020, are estimated
10 by Oliver Wyman at \$198,663,000.
- 11 4. Other Assets: There is no known tangible personal property or real property
12 owned by the Company.

13 We are enclosing the consultants and Special Deputy Receiver bill payments since the last status
14 report filed with the Court. Detailed billings are submitted *in camera*, and summaries of such bills are
15 submitted as Exhibit 1 to this report.⁸ The Receiver is including, as Exhibit 2 attached hereto, a cash

17 ⁸ The *in-camera* materials are being submitted in a separate envelope that reflect paid invoices. Certain billings
18 submitted to the Court are appropriate for *in camera* review (as opposed to being made part of a public filing). More
19 particularly, and as discussed in further detail below, certain consultants in this matter will provide expert witness related
20 services. As such, the billing entries relating thereto should be considered confidential and/or otherwise not subject to
21 discovery.

22 In this regard, courts have held that the bills of legal counsel and experts may be withheld from legal discovery and
23 are not subject to legal disclosure, as this information may provide indications or context concerning potential litigation
24 strategy and the nature of the expert services being provided. *See, e.g., Avnet, Inc. v. Avana Technologies Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-
25 00929- GMN-PAL, 2014 WL 6882345, at *1 (D. Nev. Dec. 4, 2014) (finding that billing entries were privileged because
26 they reveal a party's strategy and the nature of services provided); *Fed. Sav. & Loan Ins. Corp. v. Ferm*, 909 F.2d 372, 374-
27 75 (9th Cir. 1990) (considering whether or not fee information revealed counsel's mental impressions concerning litigation
28 strategy). Other courts that have addressed this issue have recognized that the "attorney-client privilege embraces attorney
time, records and statements to the extent that they reveal litigation strategy and the nature of the services provided." *Real v.*
Cont'l Grp., Inc., 116 F.R.D. 211, 213 (N.D. Cal. 1986).

The *in-camera* review should apply not only to documentation concerning attorney fees, but it also extends to
"details of work revealed in [an] expert's work description [which] would relate to tasks for which she [or he] was
compensated[.]" a situation which is "analogous to protecting attorney-client privileged information contained in counsel's
bills describing work performed." *See DaVita Healthcare Partners, Inc. v. United States*, 128 Fed. Cl. 584, 592-93 (2016);
see also Chaudhry v. Gallerizzo, 174 F.3d 394, 402 (4th Cir. 1999) (recognizing that "correspondence, bills, ledgers,
statements, and time records which also reveal the motive of the client in seeking representation, litigation strategy, or the
specific nature of the services provided, such as researching particular areas of law," are protected from disclosure) (quoting
Clarke v. Am. Commerce Nat'l Bank, 974 F.2d 127, 129 (9th Cir. 1992)).

1 flow report for May 2021 reflecting recoveries, disbursements, and cash flow since the receivership
2 began.

3 **III.**

4 **CONCLUSION**

5 In compliance with this Court's instructions for a status report regarding the affairs of the
6 Company, the Receiver has submitted the aforementioned status report and requests that the Court
7 approve this Tenth Status Report and the actions taken by the Receiver.

8 DATED this 7th day of July 2021.

9 Respectfully submitted:

10
11 Barbara D. Richardson, Commissioner of
12 Insurance of the State of Nevada, in her Official
13 Capacity as Statutory Receiver of Delinquent
14 Domestic Insurer

15 By: /s/ CANTILO & BENNETT, L.L.P.
16 Special Deputy Receiver
17 By Its Authorized Representative
18 Mark F. Bennett

19 MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (SB# 1625)
20 KARA HENDRICKS, ESQ. (SB# 7743)
21 TAMI D. COWDEN, ESQ. (SB# 8994)
22 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
23 10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
24 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

25 *Counsel for Barbara D. Richardson,*
26 *Commissioner of Insurance,*
27 *as the Permanent Receiver for Spirit*
28 *Commercial Auto Risk Retention Group, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that on this 7th day of July 2021, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing ***Tenth Status Report*** to be e-filed and e-served on the upon the parties all parties registered for e-service. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

/s/ Andrea Lee Rosehill

An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP